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Abstract This paper investigates the tyranny of motorbike (boda boda) socio economic costs associated with commercial motorbike accidents in a city setting and provides strategies to reduce the negative effect of such transport mode. Existing research has focused on social economic costs with vehicles automobile related accidents leaving silent but impactful broader indirect costs and strategies for managing boda boda in the city un debated and in limbo. The study borrows from the view that cities and their streets are for everyone. City dwellers no matter the economic and social status must have an opportunity to enjoy life in cities. Based on a cross-sectional survey and documents analysis, this paper concludes that the costs of boda boda accidents is alarming and may be silently impacting on economic growth and prosperity of city dwellers especially the bottom of the pyramid. The outcome of the study point to the need to continuously review such costs and institute mitigants to reduce boda boda fatalities and injuries through various interventions but more importantly underpins the need to establish the true delivered of boda boda accidents to their victims. The study findings are relevant since they provide an assessment of not only economic but social costs associated with motorbike accidents. The study provides strategies that are informed by empirical and secondary evidence in Uganda’s context and from international experiences. These are necessary for Uganda that seeks to create 9 cities and other countries are envision not at transforming their cities into smart cities but transiting existing municipal authorities into cities and gradually into smart cities.
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1. Introduction

Smart city status remains a pursued destiny for most governments across the world. Getting to such status is usually confronted from various dimensions; smart health, smart transport, smart education, smart agriculture, smart commerce, smart public service delivery, smart technology and so much more (Casado & Ureba, 2017; Ahmed, et al., 2017; Gharaibeh, et al., 2017; McClellan, et al., 2017; Walter, et al., 2017; Jukan, et al., 2017).

It is argued that more than a half of the World’s population now lives in urban areas (Dirks, et al., 2010; Dirks, & Keeling, 2009; Dirks, et al., & Keeling, 2010). The fraction of national populations in cities is likely to continue increasing in the years to come (Kin, et al., 2017). Urban centres and cities come along with opportunities and challenges. While cities exist, governments world over are struggling with the challenge of transition cities into smart cities. Understanding this challenge requires deeper understanding of a smart city. World Bank (2000) forecasts that by 2025, two thirds of the world population will be living in urban areas (World Bank, 2000). Escalated urbanization changes are and will continue to occur in cities, the heart of developing nations in Africa. East Africa and Uganda are not an exception as they lie in this block. This growth comes along with increased mobility into and within cities that are in transition to becoming smart cities. Various modes of transport are adopted to improve mobility in cities. Air transport has been popular amongst nations with significant levels of urbanization such as in the Europe among countries such United Kingdom, USA, France, Canada, Germany and among the BRICS. In Africa, South Africa, Nigeria, Ghana and Kenya exists significant levels of air transport adoption in connecting cities. France, United
Kingdom, United Arab Emirates and Nordic countries have promoted rail transport. In East Africa, developments such as the regional standard gauge railway manifests recognition of the value that rail transport in developing economies. Water transport has been popular in the global commercial world in transiting containerized cargo shipments and to a small extent promotion of tourism. In Africa and Asia, water transport has helped citizens connect to markets, health care facilities and schools where road infrastructure is either poor or lacking. On the other hand, in the greater parts of Asia and developing countries in Africa, motorized tricycles have been promoted. In India, Bangladesh, Thailand, Kenya, Burundi, and Pakistan motorized tricycles are popular in transiting dwellers in cities. While other modes such as cycling, pipeline have been to some extent limited, new modes of transport are increasingly becoming popular.

In Uganda and within most parts of Kampala Metropolitan Area, conventional transport modes have been used since the colonial period. However, the introduction of two wheeled motorbike transport has over the last three decades become an increasingly popular mode of transport in the city.

The mode has been popular due to flexibility and speed in a city popularized by traffic jams.

**Origin of Boda Transport**

The origins of boda boda transport are traceable in the locus of commerce. This mode of transport was locally named “mwanyizabala” after traders in coffee that manifested a boom in sales around early and mid-1990s. After selling off their yield, traders went to procure motorbikes (boda boda) as a sign of achievement and for ease of transport of their coffee. Over the years, from movement of coffee the boda as commonly called has become a popular means of transport not only for cargo but also for passengers. On the other hand, another school of thought provides trace for the origin of the boda boda transport, this mode of transit to become a tyrant in the city, a hiccup facing a city in transitioning to become a smart city. Boda Bodas (motorbike) and motorbike (boda boda) options for citizens that constitute the bottom of the pyramid. In Uganda, motorbike transport has been promoted since the early 1990s and continues to remain a key form of flexible and flexible transport mode in urban centres. Despite the benefits of boda boda transport, this mode of transport continues to be the most perceived as dangerous means of road transport in Uganda. Broadly, road transport has always been promoted a means of preferred choice in cities and urban centers, this means of transport remains traumatic. Peden, Scuffield, Sleet, Jyder, Jarawan, & Mathers, 2004; World Health Organization, 2004) predict that road traffic injuries (RTI) have been predicted to become the third leading cause of disability-adjusted-life years lost worldwide by 2020.

It is further argued that rapid growth in motor vehicle numbers will result into an increase in RTI in low and middle income countries of the world (WHO, 2004). As numbers increase, enforcement of traffic safety regulations and public health infrastructure is inadequate in these countries (Nantulya & Reich, 2002; WHO, 2004; Ameratunga, Hyder & Norton, 2006). Although road traffic injuries affect all age groups, their impact is most striking among the young (Kobusingye, Guwatude, Owor & Lett, 2002). As such, the sudden termination of their lives would cause displacement of these families and their futures devastated, resulting into social welfare problems. Domestic production and the economy at large will be affected due to lost productivity. It is therefore, evident that reducing crashes on economic grounds alone can be justified, as there is a significant impact on the financial resources that the countries concerned can ill-afford to lose.

Over the past two and half decades, Uganda Government...
has registered a remarkable growth in her economic development (World Bank, 2016). This has resulted into a change in the modes of transport. Among these changes has come Uganda’s popular ‘boda boda’ motorbike transport mode. Such changes have occurred majorly in Kampala, Uganda’s capital city. Uganda Bureau of Statistics reveals that 60% of Uganda’s GDP is produced in Kampala (UBOS, 2014). Based on this development, plans are underway to turn Kampala city into a smart city (KCCA, 2015). Plan, while creating other nine other cities and transforming Kampala city into a regional city. This will include; five regional cities; Gulu, Kampala, Mbale, Kampala, Mbarara, and Arua) and five strategic cities; Hoima, Nakasongola, Fort portal, Moroto, and Jinja, (Uganda Vision, 2040). Underlying these developments is the accommodation and expansion of transport modes aimed at increasing mobility and safety of road user in Kampala including transport investments of the bottom of the pyramid, boda boda cyclists.

The ‘Boda Boda’ opportunity and Challenge

Motorcycles (boda bodas) have become an important novelty in mobility development that largely appears to benefit low-income users in Uganda and the Greater Kampala Metropolitan Area (GKMA) in particular. Accordingly, there has been unprecedented growth in the population of motorcycles within Kampala. Records by Kampala Capital City Authority reveal that in Kampala alone, indicate that 100,000 boda bodas operate in the city. Alongside this growth, the number of accidents involving motorcycles as a proportion of all the accidents in GKMA is increasing (Table 1).

Table 1. Motorcycle Road Crashes and Casualties (2007 - 2009)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total general accidents in GKMA</th>
<th>Motorcycle accidents in GKMA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total general accidents in GKMA</td>
<td>Accidents involving M/Cycles in GKMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17,428</td>
<td>2,842 (16.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>18,250</td>
<td>3,060 (16.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>19,372</td>
<td>3,979 (20.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Annual Traffic and Road Safety Reports, Uganda Police

Over 3,000 motorcycle crashes (about 20% in 2009) occurred in Kampala alone, with at least one person being killed in such crashes per day. Remarkably, more than 75% of the casualties are economically productive young adults.

Table 2. Deaths due to boda boda accidents (2014-2016) in Uganda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deaths/Years</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deaths</td>
<td>2,057</td>
<td>2,386</td>
<td>2,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase in Deaths</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Increase in Annual Deaths</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Uganda Police Traffic Report 2017

Recent statistics reveal that 7000 deaths were due to boda bodas accidents were recorded in the last three years (2014-2016). While Uganda witnessed a decline by approximately 8 percent of deaths due to boda bodas accidents, and extra 168 persons died due to boda bodas accidents from 2386 in 2015 to 2,554 persons.

Table 3. Description of deaths due to boda boda accidents (2014-2016) in Uganda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deaths/Years</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>Total Deaths</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boda Boda Riders</td>
<td>621</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>791</td>
<td>2,057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Passengers</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>2,386</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedestrians</td>
<td>1,162</td>
<td>1,311</td>
<td>1,384</td>
<td>2,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total deaths</td>
<td>2,057</td>
<td>2,386</td>
<td>2,554</td>
<td>7,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Uganda Police Traffic Report 2017

Based on statistics provided in table 1, table 2 and table 3, it is evident that deaths caused by boda have increased by 1076.47 from the year 2007 to 2016. This makes boda bodas accidents as the highest contributor to road accents in the city and Uganda as a whole.

While the adversity of this trend is acknowledged by a wide spectrum of stakeholders, interventions developed are erratic, uncoordinated, politicized and ineffective. For instance, there are no systematic and sustainable initiatives on road safety in GKMA. The institutional framework for road safety is uncoordinated and has operational inefficiencies. The available road infrastructure in GKMA lacks the minimum road safety standards such licensed motorbike training schools, dedicated lanes for riders, weight loading and speed limits, perhaps contributing to impact of the boda bodas as tyrants of the city. Moreover, most of the motorcyclists are unqualified and inexperienced, resulting in a higher risk of being involved in an injury and fatal accident.

Besides, GKMA has no established, dedicated and sustainable emergency rescue units to deal with RTA victims other than the general-purpose ambulances belonging to hospitals and private clinics. It is probable that the current situation is due to lack of cost data on the magnitude of the problem. This presents a challenge in respect to making informed decisions about allocation of the scarce resources and formulation of policy options in important ways. The risk would be lower but occurrence of such events in Uganda’s only city puts the lives of citizens at huge. This trend needs to be reversed to avoid a ‘war on roads’.
A war on roads is defined as a situation where roads users deny others the use of roads.

In this context, if this trend is not reversed, a road users strike against boda boda riders is eminent.

This study aims at estimating the socio-economic costs due to motorcycle crashes in GKMA so as to inform policy makers and urban transport planners to incorporate safety measures as well as design policy options to reduce these costs.

2. Methodology

This study was restricted to city and in particular Greater Kampala Metropolitan Area (GKMA), The GKMA is the largest single production centre of Uganda. It is the centre for industry, commerce and services, presenting high traffic congestion and serious road safety concerns. Nsambya one of the major hospitals that is registered as a private not for profit hospital – is selected as a case study. The hospital is selected for its good record of patient records compared to government hospitals that have been alleged to have fractured record systems. The hospital provides uniqueness as has rare features such as being a hospital where all services relating to medical and hospital care are purely borne by the patient. This was important in generating an approximate medical and hospital cost estimate due to motorcycle crashes. Besides, it is a tertiary referral hospital with a capacity of 361 beds. It is involved in patient care, research and teaching and offers specialist services in Surgery, Internal Medicine, Pediatrics and Obstetrics and Gynecology.

In this study, the population consisted of all the motorcycle crash victims (both outpatient and inpatient) that reported to Nsambya hospital during the period June to September, 2011. The victim was deemed to have been involved in a motorcycle crash if he/she was a passenger, a rider or was hit by a motorcycle (as a pedestrian, a cyclist or car occupant). A total of 237 RTA victims reported at Nsambya Hospital during the study period. 79% (187 cases) of these cases were due to RTA involving a motorcycle (boda boda). Determination of a representative sample size was based on the average monthly motorcycle crash patients that had attended the emergency unit at the hospital in the previous 5 months prior to the study. A sample size of 113 cases out of a target population of 207 patients was selected. A hospital survey was conducted in order to investigate the socio-economic costs of motorcycle crashes with motorcycle accident victims and was preferred based on the fact that it permits a concentration of accident victims, thereby allowing low-cost data capture.

The study was guided by the following objectives; SO1: To identify the costs associated with motorcycle (boda boda) road crashes and casualties and SO2: To explore strategies that should be implemented to reduce costs associated with motorcycle (boda boda) road crashes and casualties.

Crash and casualty severity

All motorcycle crash data recorded by the police in Kampala Metropolitan district for the period 2007-2009 and 2014 to 2016 was reviewed to document the total number of motorcycles involved in each category of crash severity (fatal, serious and minor injury). The period 2010 to 2013 due to lack of data. In this study, crash severity was determined by dividing the number of motorcycles involved in RTAs by the number of motorcycle crashes by severity. Over the six months, police records indicated that there were 2,913 casualties of which 6.4% (186) were fatalities while 55.6% (1,621) were seriously injured. Besides, 38% (1,106) received minor injuries. Casualty severity was determined by dividing the total of number of casualties as a result of motorcycle crashes by the total number of crashes by severity.

Socioeconomic Costs

A hospital survey was conducted in order to investigate the socio-economic costs of motorcycle crashes with motorcycle accident victims. Estimation of the costs due to motorcycle/boda boda crashes was based on the Human Capital Method/Gross output (Babie Ross & Silcock, 2003 & Transport Research Laboratory [TRL], 2003). This study focused on the economic costs of motorcycle road crashes as well as an estimate of the sum that reflects the pain, grief and suffering incurred by the victims and their families from a societal outlook. This approach is recommended by Kumararayake, Pepperall, Goodman, Mills, & Walker (2000). The assumption was that the value to society of avoiding a death or injury is related to the potentially high in terms of economic output and resources.

The cost of road traffic injuries is composed of two major parts: direct and indirect costs (Kobelt, 2002). In this study, direct costs were categorized into medical and non-medical costs while indirect costs were categorized as lost output due to absence from work as a result of injury or disability and lost output due to premature death, human costs, administrative costs as well as costs due to vehicle damage.

Direct costs

Medical and non-medical costs

In this study, hospital/medical costs referred only to health-care-related costs directly spent for impediment, detection, and treatment of the patient. A hospital survey was carried out in which patients or their caregivers were interviewed to make a self-report about the hospital and medical cost. This information was verified in the Accounts section by extracting the corresponding patients’ total hospital and medical bill based on the level of injury severity – Abbreviated Injury Scale (AIS). The non-medical costs constituted the sum of food costs, travel costs, and nursing care costs. In order to capture resource use (non-medical costs) that was likely to vary from patient to patient and that seemed difficult to extract from existing data sources, only self-report measures were done for both the patient as well as caregivers.
Indirect costs

Lost output

The lost output of the injured person or caregiver referred to the present value of lost wages of the victim or caregiver over the period of illness and was computed by multiplying the number of lost working days for those with serious, minor injuries or caregiver, with their average daily wage. The average daily wage was used because most of the patients were unskilled workers and there were no statistics on the average income of road fatalities in Uganda. Use of the average daily wage has been applied in other studies related to the cost of road traffic accidents (Pornlertwadee, 2002, Riewpaiboon, Piyauthakit & Chaikledkaew, 2008).

The lost output in case of death referred to the present value of lost wages of the victim from the time of death to retirement (60 years in Uganda). Using a discount rate of 14% (BOU, 2011), the lost labor output of fatalities was computed using the cumulative present values of the assumed wages of the deducted lost economic years of the fatalities until the age of 60 years. This study did not record any permanent disabilities.

Human costs

Pain, grief and suffering were derived as a percentage of lost output cost. In TRL (1995), it is suggested that the following values are used: 28% of total lost income for fatal accident; 50% of total lost income for serious injury accident and 8% of total lost income for minor injury accident.

Administrative costs

This study adopted the TRL (1995) recommendation for costing administration activities in a crash for developing countries as:

Total resource cost = (lost output + medical cost + vehicle damage)

Fatal Accident - 0.2% of total resource cost
Serious Injury Accident - 4.0% of total resource cost
Minor Injury Accident - 14% of total resource cost
PDO Accident - 10% of total resource cost

Vehicle damage

The costs associated with vehicle damage were calculated as the average cost of motorcycle repairs multiplied by the average number of vehicles involved/damaged in crashes by severity. While vehicle damage is usually extended to damage to include external objects like traffic signals, lamp posts, and buildings, in this study the definition is constrained by limits of data on constructs of the extended definition of vehicle damage.

3. Findings

Age

The average age of fatalities was 30.17 years. The fatalities died within a period of 30 days from the date of the accident. The average age of the victims with minor or serious injuries was 31.37 years. There is a substantial over-representation (75.9%, n = 108) of the economically active age range of 20 - 49 years. The majority of the victims (37.9%) were in the 20 - 29 age range. The over-representation of the economically active and productive age group provides the need for local authorities such as Kampala Capital City Authority to pay particular attention to them.

Income

The majority of the victims (36.1%) earned between UGX 20,000 and UGX 30,000 per day. There was underrepresentation of victims earning above UGX 50,000 daily (only 2.8%). The average daily income of motorcycle road crash victims with serious and minor injuries was UGX 26,081 (about $9.3) and UGX 29,068 (about $10.4) respectively. The average daily income of fatalities was UGX 27,500 (about $9.8). This income level is above Uganda’s national average income per capita of $400 (about UGX 3,075 daily). This study was carried out in Kampala, the largest single production centre in Uganda and therefore such incomes would be expected. It is also probable that poorer crash victims may fail to afford and report to this hospital where there are no subsidies as is the case in a Government hospital such as Mulago. With such income level, absence from work and or the family can have far-reaching effects, well beyond the immediate costs of the treatment which may be needed and other monetary costs of the accident.

Crash Severity

Basing on the number of motorcycles involved in RTAs and number of crashes involving motorcycles, the average number of motorcycles involved in each crash (crash severity) was determined (Table 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crash severity</th>
<th>Total No. of crashes</th>
<th>Total No. of M/C involved</th>
<th>Average No. of M/C involved per crash</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatal</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious</td>
<td>807</td>
<td>1,020</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>764</td>
<td>858</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Police records at Central Police Station - Kampala

On average, 1 motorcycle was involved in a fatal crash while 1.264 motorcycles were involved in a serious crash and 1 motorcycle in a minor crash.

Casualty severity

Over the six months, there were 2,913 casualties of which 186 (6.4%) were fatalities while 1,621 (55.6%) were seriously injured (327 victims in fatal crashes and 1,294 victims in serious crashes). Besides, 1,106 (38%) received minor injuries (61 victims in fatal crashes, 99 victims in serious crashes and 946 in minor crashes). Based on the number of motorcycles involved in RTAs and number of casualties involved in motorcycles crashes, the average number of casualties per crash (casualty severity) was determined (Table 3).
On average, 1 person is killed in every fatal crash, while 2 people are seriously injured. The probability of exposure to minor injuries stood at 0.477 in a fatal accident. For a serious accident, on average, 1 person is seriously injured while probability of getting minor injuries was minimal in a minor crashes.

### Table 5. Average number of casualties per crash severity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Fatalities</th>
<th>Serious injury</th>
<th>Minor injury</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatal accidents</td>
<td>1.453</td>
<td>2.555</td>
<td>0.477</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious accidents</td>
<td>1.607</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor accidents</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.238</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Police records at Central Police Station - Kampala

### Social Economic costs

#### Direct costs

**Non-medical costs**

Direct non-medical costs were derived from interviews conducted with the patients or their caregivers. They included costs related to non-medical procedures such as travel. Other costs included; food, laundry, accommodation, and informal care or care by relatives.

The results (Table 6) indicate an average of UGX 109,169 for fatal injury while an average of UGX 138,449 was computed for serious injury. UGX 7,484 was computed as the average non-medical cost for minor injury.

### Table 6. Average non-medical cost per casualty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of Injuries</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatal injuries</td>
<td>109,169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious injuries</td>
<td>138,449</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor injuries</td>
<td>7,484</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary Data (2018)

In order to determine the total non-medical cost per crash, non-medical cost estimate per casualty (Table 6) was multiplied by the respective number of casualties per crash (Table 4). The computation (Table 5) produced the average non-medical costs of UGX 514,662 for a fatal crash while the average non-medical cost for a serious injury crash is UGX 223,409. For a minor crash, the average non-medical cost is UGX 9,265.

### Table 7. Average non-medical costs per crash per casualty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crash severity</th>
<th>Per casualty non-medical cost UGX</th>
<th>Casualties per crash</th>
<th>Total cost UGX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatal</td>
<td>109,169</td>
<td>1.453</td>
<td>158,623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious</td>
<td>138,449</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>353,045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>7,484</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2,994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>514,662</td>
<td>223,409</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary Data (2018)

### Medical costs

Medical and hospital costs were derived from the patients in comparison with the actual payments made from Accounts. The costs were composed of material, labor, and capital costs for patient care and supporting departments. The calculation (Table 6) produced an average of UGX 519,854 for fatal injury while an average of UGX 728,679 was computed for serious injury. This computation included cost items such as X-rays, abdominal scans, hospital charges (bed, food), intra-medullar implants, medicines, consultancy fees and sometimes ambulance fees among others. UGX 32,540 was computed as the average medical cost for minor injury, which includes emergency room treatment (tetanus vaccination, first aid), consultancy fees and ambulance service among others.

### Table 8. Computation of Hospital and Medical costs per casualty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of Injuries</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatal injuries (AIS – 5, AIS – 6)</td>
<td>519,854</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious injuries (AIS – 3, AIS – 4)</td>
<td>728,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor injuries (AIS – 1, AIS – 2)</td>
<td>32,540</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary Data (2018)

### Table 9. Average medical costs per crash

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crash severity</th>
<th>Per casualty non-medical cost UGX</th>
<th>Casualties per crash</th>
<th>Total cost UGX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatal</td>
<td>519,854</td>
<td>1.453</td>
<td>755,348</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious</td>
<td>728,679</td>
<td>2.55</td>
<td>1,858,131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>32,540</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>13,016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,626,495</td>
<td>1,174,989</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary Data (2018)
In order to determine the total hospital and medical cost per crash, the medical cost estimate per casualty (Table 8) was multiplied by the respective number of casualties per crash (Table 3). The computation (Table 8) produced an average medical and hospital cost per crash of UGX 2,626,495 for a fatal crash while the average medical and hospital cost for a serious injury crash is UGX 1,174,989. For a minor crash, the average medical and hospital cost is UGX 40,285.

Indirect costs

a. Labor Lost output costs

The average daily income for fatalities was used to compute the assumed annual wages of UGX 9,900,000. Average daily income is computed as follows UGX27,500 (Average income for Ugandan) * 365 (days in a year). To compute the lost labor output of fatalities we adopt discount rate of 14% by Central Bank of Uganda-BOU (2011). In the study we also base on cumulative present values of the assumed wages of the deducted lost economic years of the fatalities. The computed lost output of the fatalities is finally arrived at as being UGX 69,326,375. We find out that fatal cases had 2 days lost prior to decease resulting in lost output of UGX 78,908. The two computations produced a total lost labor output of fatalities of UGX 69,405,283.

The lost output of injured person and caregiver was computed by multiplying the number of lost working days for those with serious or minor injuries and caregiver, with their average daily wage. The minimum daily wage was used because most of the patients were unskilled workers and there was no statistics on the average income of road fatalities in Uganda. Use of the average daily wage has been applied in other studies related to the cost of road traffic accidents (Pornlertwadee, 2002, Riewpaiboon et al, 2008).

b. Human cost

Pain, grief and suffering cost category

Pain, grief and suffering were derived as a percentage of lost output cost, as shown in TRL (1995) as; 28% for fatal accident; 50% for serious injury accident and 8% for minor injury accident. Earlier computations produced the cost estimate of pain, grief and suffering for a fatal, serious and minor crash as UGX 19,433,479, UGX 202,922 and UGX 3,163, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of Injury</th>
<th>Average number of persons injured</th>
<th>Average wages</th>
<th>Lost output per casualty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serious injury</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>26,081</td>
<td>238,902</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor injury</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>29,068</td>
<td>39,532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caregiver</td>
<td>9.16</td>
<td>18,225</td>
<td>166,941</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crash severity</th>
<th>Casualties per crash</th>
<th>Total cost UGX</th>
<th>Casualties per crash</th>
<th>Total cost UGX</th>
<th>Casualties per crash</th>
<th>Total cost UGX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crash severity</th>
<th>Casualties per crash</th>
<th>Total cost UGX</th>
<th>Casualties per crash</th>
<th>Total cost UGX</th>
<th>Casualties per crash</th>
<th>Total cost UGX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crash severity</th>
<th>% of lost income</th>
<th>Total cost UGX</th>
<th>Casualties per crash</th>
<th>Total cost UGX</th>
<th>Casualties per crash</th>
<th>Total cost UGX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatal</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>19,433,479</td>
<td>.50</td>
<td>202,922</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious</td>
<td>405,843*</td>
<td>1,034,900</td>
<td>1.607</td>
<td>652,190</td>
<td>1.238</td>
<td>48,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>39,532</td>
<td>15,812</td>
<td>1.023</td>
<td>4,862</td>
<td>1.238</td>
<td>48,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>101,896,588</td>
<td>657,052</td>
<td>48,941</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Average lost output per crash

Table 12. Cost estimate of pain, grief and suffering

Source: Primary Data (2018)
c. Vehicle damage

Vehicle damage costs were derived from a survey of informal “shade tree” mechanics providing motorcycle repair services. They included costs related to spare parts and labour. The respondents however expressed some difficulties in giving the cost of repair because they were not aware whether they were repairing a motorcycle involved in a fatal, serious, or minor crash. Table 13 presents the average cost estimate of motorcycle repair by severity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nature of damage</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatal crash</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious injury crash</td>
<td>150,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor injury crash</td>
<td>25,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary Data (2018)

The results indicate an average of UGX 500,000 for repair of motorcycle involved in a fatal, UGX 150,000 serious injury crash and UGX 25,000 for minor injury crash.

In order to determine the average vehicle damage cost per crash, the average repair cost estimate was multiplied by the respective adjustment factor and the number of motorcycles per crash. This study assumed that the average number of motorcycles damaged in each crash severity was the same as the average number of motorcycles involved in each crash severity. The computation produced the cost estimate for vehicle damage in a fatal crash as UGX 829,250, UGX 265,440 for a serious injury crash and UGX 35,094 for a minor crash.

d. Administration

In TRL (1995), puts 0.2% as the cost of administration activities for a fatal accident, 4.0% for a serious injury accident, 14% for a minor injury accident and 10% for PDO accident, putting into consideration that Total resource cost = (lost output + medical cost + vehicle damage). The computation (Table 13) produced the cost estimate for administration in a fatal crash as UGX 210,705, UGX 83,899 for a serious injury crash and UGX 17,405 for a minor crash.

Summary of the socioeconomic costs

Table 15 summarizes the associated socioeconomic costs/burden of crashes involving motorcycles and indicates the overall average cost estimate per crash by severity. The average socioeconomic cost of a fatal, serious and minor motorcycle crash is estimated at UGX 125,511,179 (about $44,730), UGX 2,607,711 (about $929) and UGX 154,153 (about $55), respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Medical cost</th>
<th>Lost output</th>
<th>Vehicle damage</th>
<th>Total resource cost</th>
<th>% of Total Resource cost</th>
<th>Administration costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatal</td>
<td>2,626,495</td>
<td>101,896,588</td>
<td>829,250</td>
<td>0.2%</td>
<td>210,705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serious</td>
<td>1,174,989</td>
<td>657,052</td>
<td>265,440</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>83,899</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor</td>
<td>40,285</td>
<td>48,941</td>
<td>35,094</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>17,405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 16. Summary of the socioeconomic costs of motorcycle accidents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A. Direct Cost</th>
<th>Fatal (UGX)</th>
<th>Serious injury (UGX)</th>
<th>Minor injury (UGX)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Direct Medical cost</td>
<td>2,626,495</td>
<td>1,174,989</td>
<td>40,285</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-medical cost</td>
<td>514,662</td>
<td>223,409</td>
<td>9,265</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub Total</td>
<td>3,141,157</td>
<td>1,398,398</td>
<td>49,550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>B. Indirect Cost</th>
<th>Fatal (UGX)</th>
<th>Serious injury (UGX)</th>
<th>Minor injury (UGX)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lost output</td>
<td>101,896,588</td>
<td>657,052</td>
<td>48,941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human cost</td>
<td>19,433,479</td>
<td>202,922</td>
<td>3,163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative</td>
<td>210,705</td>
<td>83,899</td>
<td>17,405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle damage</td>
<td>829,250</td>
<td>265,440</td>
<td>35,094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub Total</td>
<td>122,370,022</td>
<td>1,209,313</td>
<td>104,603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total (%)</td>
<td>125,511,179</td>
<td>2,607,711</td>
<td>154,153</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Primary Data (2018)
4. Discussion of Findings

This study reveals that in a fatal motorcycle crash, 81.2% (UGX 101,896,588) of the cost estimate are due to indirect costs as a result of loss of income due to fatalities. Earlier studies by De Leon et al (2005) had discovered that 73% of the costs in fatal crashes are due to lost income as a result of fatalities and permanent disabilities. The higher percentage in this study can be explained by the fact that there were no permanent disabilities. However, the result that the indirect costs were higher than the direct costs is consistent with that of other illnesses (Al-Masaeid, Al-Mashakbeh and Qudah, 1999; Garcia-Altes and Perez, 2007; Miller and Blewden, 2001; Pitagpravej, 1997; Riewpaiboon et al, 2008; Sumiratana, 1998; Suwanrada, 2005). It can be further explained that the higher percentage of indirect costs is attributed to a higher proportion of young and energetic people involved in motorcycle accidents. As a result longer periods of productivity are lost, which negatively impacts on their dependents and the economy as a whole.

In this study, the highest proportion of the total cost estimate for serious injury crashes is direct medical costs, comprising 45.1% of the total cost estimate for serious injury crashes. Similar studies have also determined a higher cost estimate for direct medical cost of serious injuries (De Leon, Cal, and Sigua 2005; Riewpaiboon et al, 2008). This high proportion can be explained by the fact that there were no permanently disabled persons due to motorcycle crashes in this study, hence the small proportion of indirect costs. The majority of the patients (61.5%) were out-patients with minor injuries. It is worth noting that Nsambya Hospital is purely private with no subsidies for patients and it is a lower government facility also found in the study area. It is therefore highly probable that the medical cost of injuries is even higher than what is presented in this study, premised on the possibility that more severely injured victims could have opted for Mulago Hospital, a national referral hospital which provides both direct and indirect costs associated with the boda boda transport. From this analysis, by only reviewing direct costs, policy makers in transport miss out the relevant costs that should form a base for debating and deciding boda boda transport related decisions especially in the city and upcoming cities.

5. Conclusions

This study has demonstrated the need to reduce motorcycle crashes in order to lessen the associated socio-economic costs. The number of motorcycle crashes and motorcycles involved in these crashes is reasonably high even with cases of non-reporting, mis-recording and under-reporting. This is a pointer to concerned local authorities to design further efforts to reduce crash risk through enhanced planning of the area and the provision of good transport infrastructure and facilities. Reduction of the crash rates will lead to improved quality of life for the susceptible road users and unlimited benefits to the people in the GKMA.

The findings of this study further indicate that majority of the victims (75.9%) were in the age range of 20-49, representing an economically productive age group. This situation provides the need for local authorities such as KCCA to pay particular attention to them and the efforts in terms of policy and planning should be directed at such cases, in order to curb the casualty rates that could result into significant individual, family and societal costs.

As Uganda seeks to establish 9 more cities by 2040 (Uganda Vision, 2040) and transform the current city into a regional city. In pursuit of this great dream, major constraints exist-the boda boda challenge and the inevitable costs of its existence to the city and urban dweller. The most affected have been the poor people that this study conceptualizes as the bottom of the pyramid. Making cities a place for everyone, will require several initiatives.

Addressing this challenge is critical for improving wellbeing of the current city dweller in and dwellers in other cities to come. It is important that this challenge be sorted before rolling out of other cities as it is envisaged in Uganda Vision 2040. This view is informed by this study. The study has demonstrated the importance of estimating crash costs particularly in a densely populated area like GKMA. The findings of this study led the researchers to conclude that accidents involving motorcycles are a major cause of fatality and injury and result in significant social and economic costs in GKMA. These costs and inconveniences to dwellers in Kampala city is unaffordable and expensive for dwellers top settle in especially those below poverty line (pedestrians and riders) that we conceptualize as the bottom of the pyramid. The high costs and inconvenience created by diversity of mobility option with the introduction of boda transport...
Implications for Smart City Policy Makers

Addressing the boda challenge requires well thought strategy in the journey to create smart cities and transit municipalities into cities as well. While the costs have been established, there is need for strategy to manage the *boda boda* challenge into to develop cities that are for everyone. This is in line with views by other scholars on smart cities

"cities are people’s future, places where tomorrow’s civilizations are built.”

**Erik Orsenna**

Critical strategies that need to be implemented include; Effective implementation of road safety strategies are essential in reducing crash and casualty severity and this can result in significant reduction in the associated socio-economic burden.

There is need to design roads with specific lanes for *boda boda* riders. This will help to reduce unnecessary traffic caused by congestion exposing pedestrians and passengers on bikes to accidents (Patrick, 1993).

There is need to establish sidewalks for pedestrians and specific cycling specific lanes for *boda boda* riders. In addition, restricted exclusive lanes in cities for buses and small utility vehicles (SUVs) taxis should be incorporated in design of roads in cities and urban centres. This is part of require improving design of the urban environment. It is argued that the Dutch and Scandinavians have created urban environments with cycle ways, play spaces, shops, and green belts with ease of access to residential areas (Patrick, 1993; Mohan, 1989). Mohan (1989) argues that China provides good example of traffic separation where it is argued that almost half of the road surface in cities is reserved for cyclists and pedestrians (Mohan, 1989).

In Marseille, France similar urban design has been implemented. Such reduces exposure to fatalities and accidents.

Gradual phasing out of sole passenger bikes and encouraging the adoption of commercial smart bike sharing models (Midgley, 2009). This is in line with evidence of successful similar model adoption in UK, India, USA (DeMaio, 2003; DeMaio, 2004). It is argued that bike sharing helps to reduce accidents as commercial *boda boda* riders are likely to ride within appropriate speed limits as they are guaranteed extended revenue per ride when smart bike sharing models are adopted. Benchmarking visits should be explored by urban authority officials to countries like UK, USA, India and others.

Establish licensing rider training schools for motorbike riders with and established national curriculum for motorbike training. This is likely to increase rider awareness of road signs and respect of traffic rules. This practice has been implemented in Rwanda, making the *boda boda* riders more sensitive to road safety signs, compliance with wearing of helmet by the *boda boda* riders and passengers. Such initiative will not only reduce not only the chances of injury and fatalities on riders but also passengers and pedestrians when drivers comply with road safety requirements.

In Uganda, safe boda initiative through a smart phone app has been launched. Like UBER, the app allows motorbike passengers to book online their travel over motorbikes. The initiative has involved training of participating *boda boda* riders on road, passenger safety, customer care and the broader Traffic and Road Safety Act of Uganda and defensive driving.

Promote entrepreneurial, progressive and intelligent in urban setups. Government and local authorities need to partner and promote innovative road safety initiatives by motorists, and support firms such entrepreneurial models possess significant growth potential such as Safe Boda. For instance Safe Boda partners with the Ministry of Health to give all our drivers first responder training to enable them extend help to the community around them. Under such initiative riders are trained in customer service so that our customers always have an amazing experience. Riders are also given basic training on how to maintain their bikes in good condition to ensure safety on the road. Such initiatives should be supported by government of Uganda and replicated through attracting potential investors in such an industry by local authorities at Municipality, City and urban Centre governance levels.

To reduce the *boda boda* challenge requires that city authorities explore other alternative to motorbike transport. And integrated system with trains, trolleys and buses. However, standard of service should be reliable and costs should be affordable to city dwellers. This view is supported by Lowe (1990) who argues that these have constituted smart transport alternative in cities such as Paris, Toronto and others. This will reduce pedestrians’ exposure to unsafe *boda boda*.

There is need to return streets to the people by building diversity into road design and use. Zoning off some parts of the city to motorists can help reduce exposure to accidents by motorists. Such initiatives have been implemented in City of Kigali, Rwanda. Beyond reducing exposure to accidents by *boda boda* travel, such initiative promote wellbeing of city dwellers as walking to and from work within zoned off areas provides some physical exercise opportunity that would have otherwise been missed. This view is supported by Tiwari (2002), Thynell et al. (2010) and Jain & Moraglio (2014) that suggest that to reduce the war on roads, there is need to have urban streets returned to the people, pedestrians.

Charging riders who breach traffic rules with instant fines may help to solve the *boda boda* challenge. This requires that all motorbikes are registered and that rider’s identities are linked with the bikes ridden. Global position systems (GPS) are also necessary to track fined riders to ensure that they pay fines in times. Surcharges should be encouraged where riders delay to pay express fines. This will, make drivers cautious of other road users’ safety when riding. This practice has been implemented in Uganda for automobile drivers and
some progress is being made reducing accidents and associated fatalities.

The challenge of boda bodas is a political one (Jain, & Moraglio, 2014; Avery & Avery, 2002). Policy makers must understand the stakeholder interests and explore options for dealing with lost revenues by boda boda owners, riders and pedestrians that consider such mode of transport as a flexible and affordable option. This will be necessary for implementing the above cited strategies that are aimed at creating equilibrium with tradeoffs, necessary for making cities a place for everyone and civility (Avery et al., 2002).

Promotion of commercial storeyed parking buildings in the city and urban areas. Deliberate efforts by city planning authority should be considered in promoting such initiative. Building plans in the city should have 50% of pace for parking space to accommodate parking of cars. Only such building should be approved for construction in Kampala for years to come. This will help to reduce congestion on streets, which is considered one of the major causes of accidents by boda boda and motorists in the city. The city authorities should further promote stored commercial building through offering discounted price on building plans for storeyed parking, offer blanket advertising on TV, billboard and social media advertising.

Governments through their agents such Ministry of Transport and Works, Transport authorities in should promote less driving and riding in cities. Avery et al., (2002). Mohan (1989) argue that that when more and more people drive and ride, pollution and accidents combined kill more people. To reduce the number of people driving and riding, there is need to explore the opportunity of promoting combined transport such as light trains, licensing of scheduled bus companies to move in people and around town.

The Future

Most cities including Kampala are a result of poor planning. Causing major changes may appear to be costly, troublesome and is faced with high vulnerabilities to change resistance among city dwellers. Despite this hereditary challenge, using more of the air space rather than ground surface is recommended in such context.

However, opportunity for implementing thoughts lies in the journey of developing five regional cities and 5 strategic cities in Uganda as per the promise of Uganda Vision 2040. Elsewhere, this should form part of the current and future planning efforts. Failure to implement such initiatives is unforgivable by generations to come.
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